

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *

9 AUDIE THOMAS MCGEE,

Case No. 2:18-cv-02238-RFB-NJK

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER

11 v.

12 SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,

13 Defendant.
14

15 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 5) of the
16 Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered December 20, 2018.

17 A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
18 recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific
19 written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. §
20 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is
21 required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed
22 findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local
23 Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct
24 “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge.
25 Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due
26 by January 3, 2019. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case
27 and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

28 . . .

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 5) is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is DISMISSED without prejudice.

4 The Clerk of Court be instructed to enter judgment and close this matter accordingly.

5
6 DATED: February 12, 2019.



7 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
8 **United States District Judge**